

<i>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</i>	Application No. 10/804,191	Applicant(s) BAUMERT ET AL.	
	Examiner WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH	Art Unit 1782	

All Participants:

(1) WALTER B. AUGHENBAUGH.

(2) Brion Heaney.

Date of Interview: 17 September 2010

Type of Interview:
☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: .

Status of Application: allowed

(3) ____.

(4) ____.

Time: ~3:00PM

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
6,7,19,24,25

Prior art documents discussed:
none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
Brion Heaney authorized Examiner's Amendments (which were proposed on Sept. 2 and 8, 2010), and which are detailed in the attached Examiner's Amendment

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

/Rena L. Dye/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 1782
/Walter B Aughenbaugh /
Examiner, Art Unit 1782

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

